

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/903,249	07/11/2001	Gregory Scott Duncan	VTN0546	1269	
27777	7590 06/18/2003				
AUDLEY A. CIAMPORCERO JR.			EXAMINER		
JOHNSON & JOHNSON ONE JOHNSON & JOHNSON PLAZA			NGUYEN,	NGUYEN, TUAN N	
NEW BRUNS	SWICK, NJ 08933-7003		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3653		
			DATE MAILED: 06/18/2003	DATE MAILED: 06/18/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicants)

Office Action Summary

09/90324

Tuan Nguyen

Dun(an Art Unit 3653

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -

Period for Reply	•		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS	SET TO EXPIRE three (3) MONTH(S) FROM		
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.			
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm 	37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed		
	days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will		
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu	tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this		
	ill, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). or the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any		
Status	0 1-10		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	3/5/03		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This			
3) Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	nce except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims	•		
4) \times Claim(s) -28	is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above, claim(s) 2 -	14 and 23 - 28 is/are withdrawn from consideratio		
5) ☐ Claim(s)			
6) X Claim(s) and	15 - 22 is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.		
	are subject to restriction and/or election requiremen		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on			
	is: all approved bil disapproved.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	:		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign	an priority under 35 H.S.C. § 119(a) (d)		
	gri priority dilder 33 0.3.6. 3 113(a)-(d).		
_			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents			
	have been received in Application No.		
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International I *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priori application from the priori application for a list of the certified copies of the priori application for a list of the certified copies of the priori application for a list of the certified copies of the priori application from the priori appli	·		
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for dome			
The received general is made of a claim for come	sale priority under 60 0.5.5. 3 115(c).		
Attachment(s)			
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).		
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
17) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 09/903,249

Art Unit: 3653

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 2-14 and 23-28 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention and species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 12 filed on March 05, 2003.

The Examiner has further withdrawn claims 5, 6 and 8 from consideration because those claims depend from non-elected claims 4 and 2, respectively.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 15 and 17-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shimoyashiro et al. (cited by applicants).

Shimoyashiro et al. disclose a process for automatically sorting a random assemblage of products into individual orders comprising the steps of providing at least one computer 110 having access to one or more databases in which is stored order information of a variety of orders for the same or different products and the product identifier information; providing a random assemblage of products in response to the order information in which each of the products has a product identifier thereon; and scanning the product identifiers so as to sort the products based on a particular order and to an order builder zone for that order.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/903,249

Art Unit: 3653

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimoyashiro et al. (cited by applicants) in view of Liff et al..

Shimoyashiro et al. do not have a step of applying a label onto each product.

However, Liff et al. disclose a process for controlling a drug dispensing system having a step of applying a label 58 onto a product 32.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the process of Shimoyashiro et al. to have an additional step of label applicator as taught by Liff et al.. Such modification identifies an owner's name on each specific product so as to avoid confusing in delivering.

Application/Control Number: 09/903,249

Art Unit: 3653

- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's 6. disclosure. Benson et al. and Hazama et al. are cited to show other pertinent art.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Tuan Nguyen 7. at telephone number 703-308-3664.

Chan Mguyen 6/16/03

tnn,

June 16, 2003.